UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/623,381	07/18/2003	Lex P. Jansen	03-118 (US01)	3194	
41696 VISTA IP LAV	7590 03/07/2007 V GROUP LLP	EXAMINER			
12930 Saratoga Avenue			WILLSE, DAVID H		
Suite D-2 Saratoga, CA 9	5070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ED	
dress	
eandonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3) e of the following	
rhichever is later. In tion. FILED WITHIN	
ate extension fee oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as , even if timely filed,	
ths of the date of he appeal. Since	
because	
the issues for	
t (PTOL-324).	
ent canceling the	
explanation of	
·.	
oot be entered is necessary and	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/623,381	JANSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dave Willse	3738		

0.6 4 52 6 4 40 6	70,020,007	ONITOLIT ET ME.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED February 23, 2007, FAILS TO PLACE THI							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In</li> </ul>						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b)    □ wi   wided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			··				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	31 (Z	•				
	2	Maria Maria					
		Dave Willse Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations added to claims 7 and 20 inherently alter the scope of other claims (e.g., claim 8) that did not previously depend from claims 25 and 31 and thus do not simplify the analysis of the prior art relative to the proposed claims.